arXiv:1603.01230v1 [math.CA] 3 Mar 2016

TENT SPACE BOUNDEDNESS VIA EXTRAPOLATION

PASCAL AUSCHER AND CRUZ PRISUELOS-ARRIBAS

ABSTRACT. We study the action of operators on tent spaces such as maximal operators, Calderón-Zygmund operators, Riesz potentials. We also consider singular non-integral operators. We obtain boundedness as an application of extrapolation methods in the Banach range. In the non Banach range, boundedness results for Calderón-Zygmund operators follows by using an appropriate atomic theory. We end with some consequences on amalgalm spaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
2. Main results 3
3. Weights 4
4. Hardy Littlewood maximal operator 5
4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1, part (*a*) 6
4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.1, part (*b*) 6
5. Calderón-Zygmund operators 7
5.1. Proof of Theorem 2.2, part (*a*) 8
5.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2, part (*b*) 9
5.3. Proof of Theorem 2.2, part (*c*) 12
5.4. Proof of Theorem 2.2, part (*d*) 14
6. Riesz potentials and fractional maximal functions 16
6.1. Proof of Theorem 2.3 17
7. Riesz transform 18
7.1. Proof of Theorem 2.4 20
8. Amalgam spaces and generalization 21
9. Concluding remarks 24
References 24

Date: March 3, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B20,42B25,42B35,47B34.
Key words and phrases. tent spaces, maximal operators, singular integrals, atoms, extrapolation, amalgalm spaces.
The first author was partially supported by the ANR project "Harmonic Analysis at its Boundaries", ANR-12-BS01-0013. The second author has been supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ ERC agreement no. 615112 HAPDEGMT.

1. Introduction

For a measurable function $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ := \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < r < \infty$, let

$$\mathcal{A}_r(F)(x) := \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} |F(y,t)|^r \frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1.1}$$

Consider the tent space T^q_r, $0 < q, r < \infty$, defined as the space of all measurable functions F such that $\mathcal{A}_r(F) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We also define the weak tent space wT^q_r as the space of all measurable functions F such that $\mathcal{A}_r(F) \in L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

These spaces play an important role in harmonic analysis as evidenced in [14], starting from the use of Lusin area functional on harmonic functions. They are heavily used in the recent theory of Hardy spaces associated with operators ([28], [29]). They also appear if one wants to study maximal regularity operators arising from some linear or nonlinear partial differential equations ([33], [8]). In particular, one wants to understand how some (sub)linear operators act on them. More precisely the following two types of operators appear. First,

$$\mathcal{T}(F)(x,t) := T_t(F(\cdot,t))(x),$$

where T_t acts on functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Second,

$$\mathcal{T}(F)(x,t) := \int_0^\infty T_{t,s}(F(\cdot,s))(x) \frac{ds}{s}$$

where $T_{t,s}$ acts on functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. For the second type, we refer to [7], [31], [8]. Positive results on T^q_2 all rely on the use of L^2 off-diagonal estimates (or improved $L^{\min(q,2)} - L^{\max(q,2)}$ off diagonal estimates) and change of angle in the tent space norms.

For the first type, there is a simple sufficient condition that also depends on the change of angle. Let us assume that T_t acts on L^2 functions with compact support and

$$\int_{B(x,t)} |T_t(f)(y)|^2\,dy \lesssim 2^{-2j\gamma} \int_{C_j(B(x,t))} |f(y)|^2\,dy \tag{1.2}$$

with some $\gamma \geq 0$, provided f is supported in $C_j(B(x,t))$ where $C_j(B(x,t)) = B(x,4t)$ if $j = 1$ and $C_j(B(x,t)) = B(x,2^{j+1}t) \setminus B(x,2^j t)$ when $j \geq 2$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_2(\mathcal{T}(F))(x) \lesssim \sum_{j\geq 1} 2^{-j\gamma} \mathcal{A}_2^{(2^{j+1})}(F)(x)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_2^{(\alpha)}$ is defined as $\mathcal{A}_2$ with $B(x,t)$ replaced by $B(x,\alpha t)$ in (1.1). Using the well known change of angle inequality

$$\|\mathcal{A}_2^{(\alpha)} F\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \alpha^{n\max(1/2,1/q)} \|\mathcal{A}_2 F\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

we can conclude for the T^q_2 boundedness of $\mathcal{T}$ if $\gamma > n\max(1/2, 1/q)$. Note in particular that if $\gamma \leq n/2$, this argument gives no boundedness, even for $q = 2$. Often, the operators T_t are assumed to be uniformly bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which gives T^2_2 boundedness of $\mathcal{T}$, whatever γ. Still, a condition $\gamma > 0$ does not seem to guarantee boundedness on T^q_2 for a range of q about 2 in general. Thus, there is no available general criterion when $\gamma \leq n/2$.

If we let $T_t = T$ be independent of t and be a Calderón-Zygmund operator, then one obtains (1.2) with $\gamma = n/2$. Similarly we get $\gamma = n/2$ if we let $T_t = \mathcal{M}$ be the centered maximal operator. As said, this argument does not apply.

On the other hand, it is well-known that if we replace $\mathcal{A}_2$ by the vertical norm $\mathcal{V}_2$ where

$$\mathcal{V}_r(F)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |F(x,t)|^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

then for T being the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}$,

$$\|\mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{M}(F))\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_r(F)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{1.3}$$

is the vector-valued maximal inequality of Fefferman-Stein, valid when $1 < q, r < \infty$ ([19]). It is thus a natural question whether $\mathcal{V}_r$ can be replaced by $\mathcal{A}_r$, that is whether the maximal operator, identified with its tensor product with the identity on functions of the t variable, is bounded on T_r^q.

A modern simple proof of (1.3) is by invoking extrapolation (see [17]): it suffices to prove

$$\|\mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{M}(F))\|_{L^r(w)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_r(F)\|_{L^r(w)}$$

for any $w \in A_r$ to obtain (1.3), and the latter follows from Muckenhoupt's theorem. Thus we are tempted to follow the same route and indeed, we shall prove

$$\|\mathcal{A}_r(\mathcal{M}(F))\|_{L^r(w)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{A}_r(F)\|_{L^r(w)}$$

for any $w \in A_r$ using simple upper bounds and known results. We note that the functionals $\mathcal{V}_r$ and $\mathcal{A}_r$ do not compare on L^q when $q \neq r$, as shown in [4]. Hence, one cannot deduce such results directly.

For other operators, we shall also show how extrapolation allows us to conclude tent space boundedness: we will consider Calderón-Zygmund operators, Riesz potentials and fractional maximal functions, in which case, one looks for T_r^p to T_r^q boundedness for some $q > p$. We will also consider singular non integral operators such as the Riesz transform of elliptic operators to test applicability of our methods. In this case, it is a representation of the operator in the form $\int_0^\infty \theta_s \frac{ds}{s}$ that is essential. We obtain tent space boundedness with limited range in q and r that is consistent with that of the L^p theory.

For Calderón-Zygmund operators, we shall explore what happens when $q \leq 1$. At $q = 1$, we prove a weak-type inequality. We can also take advantage of cancellations in using atomic decompositions at the level of tent spaces. Then, atoms need to satisfy the additional condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(x,t)\,dx = 0, \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0$$

and we get results for $q > \frac{n}{n+1}$. Imposing more vanishing moments against polynomials allows to get smaller values of q as it is the case with Hardy spaces on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

As easy corollaries, we obtain results in amalgam spaces in Section 8.

2. Main results

As mentioned, if $(T_t)_{t>0}$ is a family of operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$ acting on (some) measurable functions, we let $\mathcal{T}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{T}(F)(x,t) = T_t(F(\cdot,t))(x),$$

provided the formula makes sense, that is provided $F(\cdot,t)$ belongs to an appropriate domain of T_t. If T is a single operator and $T_t = T$ for each $t > 0$ then $\mathcal{T} = T \otimes I$. In that case and from now on, we use the same notation by a slight abuse.

We are ready to state our main results. Precise definitions will be given later.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. For all $1 < r < \infty$,*

(*a*) $\mathcal{M} : T_r^q \to T_r^q$, *for all* $1 < q < \infty$;

(*b*) $\mathcal{M} : T_r^1 \to wT_r^1$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a Calderón-Zygmund operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ of order $\delta \in (0,1]$. For all $1 < r < \infty$,*

(*a*) $\mathcal{T} : T_r^q \to T_r^q$, *for all* $1 < q < \infty$;

(*b*) $\mathcal{T} : T_r^1 \to wT_r^1$;

(c) $\mathcal{T} : \mathfrak{T}^q_r \to T^q_r$, *for all* $\frac{n}{n+\delta} < q \le 1$;

(d) $\mathcal{T} : \mathfrak{T}^q_r \to \mathfrak{T}^q_r$, *for all* $\frac{n}{n+\delta} < q \le 1$, *if* $\mathcal{T}^*(1) = 0$.

Theorem 2.3. *For* $0 < \alpha < n$, $\frac{n}{n-\alpha} < r < \infty$, *and* $1 < p < q < \infty$ *such that* $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n}$,

$$\mathcal{I}_\alpha, \mathcal{M}_\alpha : T^p_r \to T^q_r.$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let* $L = -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla)$ *be an elliptic operator with complex-valued coefficients. For* $q_-(L) < q, r < q_+(L)$ *we have*

$$\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}} : T^q_r \to T^q_r.$$

Here is an interesting corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Assume* $(T_t)_{t>0}$ *is a family of operators with* $\sup_{t>0} |T_t(f)| \le (\mathcal{M}|f|^\rho)^{1/\rho}$ *for some* $\rho \ge 1$. *For all* $\rho < q, r < \infty$,

$$\mathcal{T} : T^q_r \to T^q_r. \tag{2.6}$$

This applies to the heat semigroup $e^{t^2\Delta}$ or the Poisson semigroup $e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}$. Note that, in both cases, there is enough decay. Often, the sup norm is too strong an hypothesis. Here is a weaker one, applying for example to semigroups e^{-t^2L} associated to elliptic operators such as the ones in Section 7.

Corollary 2.7. *Assume* $(T_t)_{t>0}$ *is a family of operators with a kind of reverse Hölder estimate*

$$\left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |T_t(f)(y)|^s dy\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(\fint_{B(x,\alpha t)} |\mathcal{M}(|f|^\rho)(y)|\, dy\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$

for some $\alpha > 1$ *and some* $1 \le \rho < s$, *uniformly for all* $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$. *Then, for all* (r,q) *with* $\rho < r \le s$ *and* $\rho < q < \infty$,

$$\mathcal{T} : T^q_r \to T^q_r. \tag{2.8}$$

This follows from the pointwise inequality

$$\mathcal{A}_r(\mathcal{T}(F))(x) \le \left(\mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)}_{\frac{r}{\rho}}(\mathcal{M}(|F|^\rho))(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$

with $\mathcal{T}(F)(x,t) = T_t(F(\cdot,t))(x)$, and Theorem 2.1.

3. Weights

Since we are going to use some weight theory, let us recall definitions and some properties. We say that a function, w, is a weight if $w \in L^1_{loc}$ and $w(x) > 0$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For $1 < p < \infty$ if B represents a ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ we say that $w \in A_p$ if

$$\left(\fint_B w(x)dx\right)\left(\fint_B w(x)^{1-p'}dx\right)^{p-1} \le C, \text{ for all } B \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For $p = 1$, $w \in A_1$ if

$$\fint_B w(y)dy \le Cw(x), \text{ for } a.e.\ x \in B \text{ and for all } B \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We introduce also the reverse Hölder classes. For $1 < q < \infty$ we say that $w \in RH_q$ if

$$\left(\fint_B w(x)^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le C\fint_B w(x)dx, \text{ for all } B \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

And for $q = \infty$, $w \in RH_\infty$ if

$$w(x) \leq C \fint_B w(y)dy, \text{ for } a.e.\ x \in B \text{ and for all } B \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We sum up some of the properties of these classes in the following result, see for instance [25], [18], or [26].

Proposition 3.1.

(*i*) $A_1 \subset A_p \subset A_q$ *for* $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$.

(*ii*) $RH_\infty \subset RH_q \subset RH_p$ *for* $1 < p \leq q \leq \infty$.

(*iii*) *If* $w \in A_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, *then there exists* $1 < q < p$ *such that* $w \in A_q$.

(*iv*) *If* $w \in RH_s$, $1 < s < \infty$, *then there exists* $s < r < \infty$ *such that* $w \in RH_r$.

(*v*) $A_\infty = \bigcup_{1 \leq p < \infty} A_p = \bigcup_{1 < s \leq \infty} RH_s$.

(*vi*) *If* $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_p$ *if and only if* $w^{1-p'} \in A_{p'}$.

4. Hardy Littlewood maximal operator

The centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is defined for locally integrable f by

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(x) = \sup_{\tau > 0} \fint_{B(x,\tau)} |f(y)|\, dy.$$

For this operator we use the following pointwise inequality.

Lemma 4.1. *For all* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t > 0$, *and* $1 < r < \infty$, *and all* f *locally* r *integrable, we have that*

$$\left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\mathcal{M}(f)(y)|^r\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \left(\fint_{B(x,2t)} |f(y)|^r\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \mathcal{M}_u \left(\fint_{B(\cdot,t)} |f(z)|dz \right)(x), \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_u$ *is the uncentered maximal operator.*

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$, and split the supremum into $0 < \tau \leq t$ and $t < \tau$. Then,

$$\left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\mathcal{M}(f)(y)|^r\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left(\sup_{0<\tau\leq t} \fint_{B(y,\tau)} |f(z)|dz \right)^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$+ \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left(\sup_{\tau > t} \fint_{B(y,\tau)} |f(z)|dz \right)^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} =: I + II.$$

Now, since, for $0 < \tau \leq t$ and $y \in B(x,t)$ it happens that $B(y,\tau) \subset B(x,2t)$,

$$I \leq \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left(\sup_{0<\tau\leq t} \fint_{B(y,\tau)} |f(z)\mathbf{1}_{B(x,2t)}(z)|dz \right)^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$\leq \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\mathcal{M}(f\mathbf{1}_{B(x,2t)})(y)|^r\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \left(\fint_{B(x,2t)} |f(y)|^r\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

where in the last inequality we have used that $\mathcal{M} : L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ([18, Theorem 2.5]).

As for II, note that, for $\xi, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi \in B(z,t) \Leftrightarrow z \in B(\xi,t)$, and also that if $z \in B(y,\tau)$, $\xi \in B(z,t)$, and $\tau > t$, then $\xi \in B(y,2\tau)$. Besides, observe that the fact that $x \in B(y,t)$ and $\tau > t$ implies that $x \in B(y,2\tau)$. Hence, applying Fubini's theorem,

$$II = \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left(\sup_{\tau>t} \fint_{B(y,\tau)} |f(y)| \fint_{B(z,t)} d\xi\, dz \right)^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$\leq \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left(\sup_{\tau>t} \fint_{B(y,2\tau)} \fint_{B(\xi,t)} |f(z)| dz\, d\xi \right)^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$\lesssim \mathcal{M}_u \left(\fint_{B(\cdot,t)} |f(z)| dz \right)(x).$$

Gathering the estimates obtained for I and II, we conclude (4.2). □

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.1, part** (a)**.** Let w be a Muckenhoupt weight. We shall prove for all $w \in A_r$ that for all $F \in T^r_r$ (hence $F(\cdot,t)$ is locally r integrable for almost every $t>0$)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{A}_r(\mathcal{M}(F))(x)|^r w(x)dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{A}_r(F)(x)|^r w(x)dx. \tag{4.3}$$

From this, by [17, Theorem 3.9], we have that, for all $1<q<\infty$, $F \in T^r_r$ and $w_0 \in A_q$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{A}_r(\mathcal{M}(F))(x)|^q w_0(x)dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{A}_r(F)(x)|^q w_0(x)dx.$$

In particular, for $w_0 \equiv 1$, we have that $w_0 \in A_q$ for all $1<q<\infty$, then, for all $F \in T^r_r$,

$$\|\mathcal{M}F\|_{T^q_r} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{A}_r(\mathcal{M}(F))(x)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{A}_r(F)(x)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = C\|F\|_{T^q_r}. \tag{4.4}$$

Approximating T^q_r functions by compactly supported T^r_r functions, we conclude (4.4) holds for functions $F \in T^q_r$ by the monotone convergence theorem.

Therefore, to finish the proof it just remains to show (4.3). This follows by (4.2) applied to $f = F(\cdot,t)$ and the fact that $\mathcal{M}_u : L^r(w) \to L^r(w)$, for all $w \in A_r$ ([35]). Using these two facts and [34, Proposition 3.2], for all $w \in A_r$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{A}_r(\mathcal{M}(F))(x)|^r w(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \fint_{B(x,t)} |\mathcal{M}(F(\cdot,t))(y)|^r \frac{dy\,dt}{t} w(x)dx$$
$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \fint_{B(x,2t)} |F(y,t)|^r \frac{dy\,dt}{t} w(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \left| \mathcal{M}_u \left(\fint_{B(\cdot,t)} |F(y,t)|dy \right)(x) \right|^r \frac{dt}{t} w(x)dx$$
$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{A}_r(F)(x)|^r w(x)dx + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \mathcal{M}_u \left(\fint_{B(\cdot,t)} |F(y,t)|dy \right)(x) \right|^r w(x)dx\frac{dt}{t}$$
$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{A}_r(F)(x)|^r w(x)dx + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |F(y,t)|dy \right)^r w(x)dx\frac{dt}{t}$$
$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{A}_r(F)(x)|^r w(x)dx.$$

□

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.1, part** (b)**.** By (4.2) and the change of angle in tent spaces, for all $\lambda>0$, we have that

$$\lambda |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{A}_r(\mathcal{M}(F))(x) > \lambda\}| \lesssim \|F\|_{T^1_r} + \lambda \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{M}_u(\widetilde{F}))(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right|,$$

where $\widetilde{F}(x,t) := \fint_{B(x,t)} |F(z,t)|\, dz$. Then, applying the Fefferman-Stein vector-valued weak type $(1,1)$ inequality [19], we control the second term in the above sum by

$$C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty |\widetilde{F}(x,t)|^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} dx \lesssim \|F\|_{T^1_r},$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Therefore, taking the supremum over all $\lambda > 0$, conclude that

$$\|\mathcal{M}F\|_{wT^1_r} \lesssim \|F\|_{T^1_r}.$$

□

5. Calderón-Zygmund operators

Recall that $\mathcal{T}$ is a Calderón-Zygmund operator of order $\delta \in (0,1]$ if $\mathcal{T}$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and has a kernel representation

$$\mathcal{T}(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x,y) f(y)\, dy,$$

for almost every x not in the support of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with the kernel, K, satisfying the standard conditions: for some $\delta > 0$,

$$|K(x,y)| \leq \frac{C}{|x-y|^n}, \text{ for } x \neq y; \tag{5.1}$$

$$|K(x,y) - K(x,z)| \leq C\frac{|y-z|^\delta}{|x-y|^{n+\delta}}, \text{ for } |x-y| > 2|y-z|; \tag{5.2}$$

$$|K(x,y) - K(w,y)| \leq C\frac{|x-w|^\delta}{|x-y|^{n+\delta}}, \text{ for } |x-y| > 2|x-w|. \tag{5.3}$$

Classically, $\mathcal{T}$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < r < \infty$ (see for instance [18, Theorem 5.10]) and the kernel representation holds also when $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The following lemma gives us a useful pointwise inequality for Calderón-Zygmund operators.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a Calderón-Zygmund operator and $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We have, for $1 < r < \infty$, and for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $t > 0$,*

$$\left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\mathcal{T}(f)(y)|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \left(\fint_{B(x,2t)} |f(y)|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \mathcal{T}_*(f)(x) + \mathcal{M}(f)(x), \tag{5.5}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_*(f)(x) := \sup_{\varepsilon>0} \left|\int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} K(x,y) f(y) dy\right|$.

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$, consider the ball $B(x,2t)$ and write $f = f\mathbf{1}_{B(x,2t)} + f\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,2t)} =: f_{loc} + f_{glob}$. Then

$$\left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\mathcal{T}(f)(y)|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\mathcal{T}(f_{loc})(y)|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\mathcal{T}(f_{glob})(y)|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} =: I + II.$$

Since $\mathcal{T} : L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$I \lesssim \left(\fint_{B(x,2t)} |f(y)|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

As for II, apply the fact that, for $y \in B(x,t)$, $\{z : |x-z| > 2t\} \subset \{z : |x-z| > 2|x-y|\}$ and (5.3). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(y,z) f_{glob}(z)\, dz\right|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left|\int_{|x-z|>2t} K(y,z) f(z)\, dz\right|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &= \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left|\int_{|x-z|>2t} (K(y,z) - K(x,z) + K(x,z)) f(z)\, dz\right|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left(\int_{|x-z|>2t} |K(y,z) - K(x,z)|\, |f(z)|\, dz\right)^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\left(\fint_{B(x,t)}\left|\int_{|x-z|>2t}K(x,z)f(z)\,dz\right|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\\
&\lesssim\left(\fint_{B(x,t)}\left(\int_{|x-z|>2t}\frac{|x-y|^\delta}{|x-z|^{n+\delta}}|f(z)|\,dz\right)^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}+\left|\int_{|x-z|>2t}K(x,z)f(z)\,dz\right|\\
&\lesssim\left(\fint_{B(x,t)}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\int_{2^{k+1}t<|x-z|\le 2^{k+2}t}\frac{|x-y|^\delta}{|x-z|^{n+\delta}}|f(z)|\,dz\right)^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}+\left|\int_{|x-z|>2t}K(x,z)f(z)\,dz\right|\\
&\lesssim\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^{k\delta}}\left(\fint_{B(x,t)}\left(\fint_{B(x,2^{k+2}t)}|f(z)|\,dz\right)^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}+\left|\int_{|x-z|>2t}K(x,z)f(z)\,dz\right|\\
&\lesssim\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^{k\delta}}\fint_{B(x,2^{k+2}t)}|f(z)|\,dz+\left|\int_{|x-z|>2t}K(x,z)f(z)\,dz\right|\\
&\lesssim\mathcal{M}(f)(x)+\mathcal{T}_*(f)(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.2, part** (a)**.** As we said above we first use (5.5) to prove a weighted version of the case $q=r$ for $\mathcal{T}$. We recall that we use the same notation $\mathcal{T}$ for its extension to tent spaces.

We consider $F\in T^r_r$ so that for almost every $t>0$, $F(\cdot,t)\in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all calculations make sense. For a weight $w\in A_r\cap RH_\infty$, by (5.5), Fubini, the fact that $\mathcal{T}^*,\mathcal{M}:L^r(w)\to L^r(w)$ (see for instance [13], [18, Theorem 7.13]), and applying [4, Proof of Proposition 2.3], and [34, Proposition 3.2],

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty\int_{B(x,t)}|\mathcal{T}(F(\cdot,t))(y)|^r\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}w(x)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\\
&\qquad\lesssim\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty\int_{B(x,2t)}|F(y,t)|^r\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}w(x)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\\
&\qquad\quad+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty|\mathcal{T}^*(F(\cdot,t))(x)|^r\frac{dt}{t}w(x)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty|\mathcal{M}(F(\cdot,t))(x)|^r\frac{dt}{t}w(x)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\\
&\qquad\lesssim\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty\int_{B(x,t)}|F(y,t)|^r\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}w(x)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty|F(x,t)|^r\frac{dt}{t}w(x)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\qquad\lesssim\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty\int_{B(x,t)}|F(y,t)|^r\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}w(x)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for all $w\in A_r\cap RH_\infty$ and $F\in T^r_r$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty\int_{B(x,t)}|\mathcal{T}(F(\cdot,t))(y)|^r\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}w(x)\,dx\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty\int_{B(x,t)}|F(y,t)|^r\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}w(x)\,dx. \tag{5.6}
$$

In particular for $w\equiv 1$ and F as above,

$$
\|\mathcal{T}(F)\|_{T^r_r}\lesssim\|F\|_{T^r_r},
$$

where the estimate does not depend on F. This proves the case $q=r$. Note now that in view of (5.6), we can apply [17, Theorem 3.31], for $p_-=1$ and $p_+=r$. Then, we obtain that, for all

$1 < q < r$ and $w_0 \in A_q \cap RH_{\left(\frac{r}{q}\right)'}$, and all $F \in T_r^r$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} |\mathcal{T}(F(\cdot,t))(y)|^r \frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} w_0(x)\,dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} |F(y,t)|^r \frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} w_0(x)\,dx.$$

Hence, taking $w_0 \equiv 1$, we have in particular that $w_0 \in A_q \cap RH_{\left(\frac{r}{q}\right)'}$. Then, for $1 < q < r$ and all $F \in T_r^r$,

$$\|\mathcal{T}(F)\|_{T_r^q} \lesssim \|F\|_{T_r^q}.$$

We conclude by density of $T_r^r \cap T_r^q$ into T_r^q.

In order to prove the boundedness for $1 < r < q < \infty$, we use a duality argument. Take $F \in T_r^q \cap T_r^r$ and $G \in T_{r'}^{q'} \cap T_{r'}^{r'}$. By the previous argument and dualization we obtain,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty |F(y,t)\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(G(\cdot,t))(y)| \frac{dt\,dy}{t} \lesssim \|F\|_{T_r^q} \|G\|_{T_{r'}^{q'}},$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is the adjoint of $\mathcal{T}$. Also

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{T}(F(\cdot,t))(x) G(x,t)| \frac{dt\,dx}{t} \lesssim \|F\|_{T_r^r} \|G\|_{T_{r'}^{r'}} < \infty$$

Thus, Fubini's theorem and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{T}(F(\cdot,t))(x) G(x,t)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(y,t)\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(G(\cdot,t))(y)\,dy$$

yield

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{T}(F(\cdot,t))(x) G(x,t) \frac{dt\,dx}{t} \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty F(y,t)\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(G(\cdot,t))(y) \frac{dt\,dy}{t} \right| \lesssim \|F\|_{T_r^q} \|G\|_{T_{r'}^{q'}}.$$

Finally, taking the supremum over all G as above, such that $\|G\|_{T_{r'}^{q'}} \leq 1$, we conclude that, for all $F \in T_r^q \cap T_r^r$, $\|\mathcal{T}(F)\|_{T_r^q} \lesssim \|F\|_{T_r^q}$. By density, this allows to extend the action of $\mathcal{T}$ to all $F \in T_r^q$. □

Remark that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{T}(F(\cdot,t))(x) G(x,t)| \frac{dt\,dx}{t} < \infty$$

for all $F \in T_r^q$ and all $G \in T_{r'}^{q'}$ when $q = r$. But when $q \neq r$, the argument does not allow to conclude for the convergence of this integral for arbitrary $F \in T_r^q$ and $G \in T_{r'}^{q'}$. Of course, this inequality holds for the extension of $\mathcal{T}$ on T_r^q.

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.2, part** (*b*)**.** Let $F \in T_r^r \cap T_r^1$, which is dense in T_r^1. It follows from (5.5) that

$$\mathcal{A}_r(\mathcal{T}(F)) \lesssim \mathcal{A}_r^{(2)}(F) + \mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{M}(F)) + \mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{T}_*(F)).$$

We need to estimate the $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm of each term.

The first term has $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm controlled by $c\|F\|_{T_r^1}$ for some constant $c > 0$ by change of angle in tent spaces.

For the second one, one applies Fefferman-Stein vector-valued weak type $(1,1)$ inequality and then, the fact that the norm in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the vertical function $\mathcal{V}_r(F)$ is controlled by the norm in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the conical function $\mathcal{A}_r(F)$ (see [4]).

For the third term, the needed weak type estimate is

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{T}_*(F))(x) > \lambda \right\} \right| \lesssim \frac{\|\mathcal{V}_r(F)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\lambda}.$$

It should be known but as we have not been able to locate a proof, we provide one for the reader's comfort. Once this is proved, we use again the result in [4] mentioned above.

Fix $\lambda > 0$ and consider the set

$$\Omega_\lambda := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_u(\mathcal{V}_r(F))(x) > \lambda\},$$

where we recall that $\mathcal{M}_u$ represents the uncentered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. We have that Ω_λ is open and, since $\|\mathcal{V}_r(F)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$, we conclude that $|\Omega_\lambda| < \infty$. Therefore, we can take a Whitney decomposition $\Omega_\lambda = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} Q_i$, where Q_i are dyadic and disjoint cubes such that

$$\sqrt{n}\,\ell(Q_i) \le \operatorname{dist}(Q_i, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega_\lambda) < 4\sqrt{n}\,\ell(Q_i).$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{V}_r(F)(x) \le \lambda, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega_\lambda, \quad \fint_{Q_i} |\mathcal{V}_r(F)(x)|\,dx \le 8^n\lambda, \quad \text{and} \quad |\Omega_\lambda| \le \frac{1}{\lambda}\int_{\Omega_\lambda} |\mathcal{V}_r(F)(x)|\,dx.$$

Then if we set

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{V}_r(F)\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega_\lambda} + \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \left(\fint_{Q_i} \mathcal{V}_r(F)\right)\mathbf{1}_{Q_i}, \text{ and } \mathcal{B} = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \left(\mathcal{V}_r(F) - \fint_{Q_i} \mathcal{V}_r(F)\right)\mathbf{1}_{Q_i}$$

we have that $\mathcal{V}_r(F) = \mathcal{G}+\mathcal{B}$ is a Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of $\mathcal{V}_r(F)$ at heigh λ satisfiying:

$$|\mathcal{G}(x)| \le 10^n\lambda, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \|\mathcal{G}\|^r_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le (10^n\lambda)^{r-1}\|\mathcal{V}_r(F)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

$$\int_{Q_i} \mathcal{B}(x)dx = 0, \fint_{Q_i} |\mathcal{B}(x)|dx \le 2\fint_{Q_i} |\mathcal{V}_r(F)(x)|dx, \text{ and } \|\mathcal{B}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le 2\|\mathcal{V}_r(F)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now set $F = G + H$, where

$$G(x,t) = F(x,t)\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega_\lambda}(x) + \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{1}_{Q_i}(x)\fint_{Q_i} F(y,t)\,dy,$$

and

$$H(x,t) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{1}_{Q_i}(x)\left(F(x,t) - \fint_{Q_i} F(y,t)\,dy\right) =: \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} H_i(x,t).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{T}_*(F))(x) > \lambda\right\}\right| &\\ \le \left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{T}_*(G))(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right| &+ \left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{T}_*(H))(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right| =: I + II.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Chebychev's inequality and the $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of $\mathcal{T}_*$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{T}_*(G))(x)^r\,dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^r}\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{T}_*(G(\cdot,t))(x)|^r\,dx\frac{dt}{t} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^r}\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |G(x,t)|^r\,dx\frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty \left|F(x,t)\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega_\lambda}(x) + \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{1}_{Q_i}(x)\fint_{Q_i} |F(y,t)|\,dy\right|^r \frac{dt}{t}\,dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega_\lambda}(x)\mathcal{V}_r(F)(x) + \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{1}_{Q_i}(x)\fint_{Q_i} \mathcal{V}_r(F)(y)\,dy\right|^r dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^r}\|\mathcal{G}\|^r_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}\|\mathcal{V}_r(F)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

As for the estimate of II, note that

$$II \lesssim \left|\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} 2\sqrt{n}\,Q_i\right| + \left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} 2\sqrt{n}\,Q_i\right) : \mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{T}_*(H))(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right|.$$

Then, since

$$\left|\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} 2\sqrt{n}\,Q_i\right| \lesssim |\Omega_\lambda| \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}\|\mathcal{V}_r(F)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

we just need to consider the second term in the previous sum. For $t>0$, and $x\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\left(\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} 2\sqrt{n}\,Q_j\right)$, let us study the $\mathcal{T}_*(H)(x,t)$. Pick $\varepsilon>0$ and consider

$$\left|\int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} K(x,y)H(y,t)\,dy\right| = \left|\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} K(x,y)H_i(y,t)\,dy\right|.$$

We distinguish three possible cases in the series. Case 1: $Q_i\subset B(x,\varepsilon)$. Then, $Q_i\cap(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B(x,\varepsilon))=\emptyset$, and consequently

$$\left|\int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} K(x,y)H_i(y,t)\,dy\right| = 0.$$

Case 2: $Q_i\subset\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B(x,\varepsilon)$. Call y_i the centre of Q_i and $\ell(Q_i)$ its length. Since $x\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 2\sqrt{n}\,Q_i$, we have $|x-y_i|>2|y-y_i|$ for any $y\in Q_i$. As $\operatorname{supp}(H_i)\subset Q_i\subset\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B(x,\varepsilon)$, we can use the mean value $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_i(y,t)\,dy=0$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} K(x,y)H_i(y,t)\,dy\right| &\le \int_{Q_i}|K(x,y)-K(x,y_i)||H_i(y,t)|\,dy\\ &\lesssim \frac{\ell(Q_i)^\delta}{|x-y_i|^{n+\delta}}\int_{Q_i}|H_i(y,t)|\,dy \lesssim \frac{\ell(Q_i)^\delta}{|x-y_i|^{n+\delta}}\int_{Q_i}|F(y,t)|\,dy.\end{aligned}$$

Case 3: $B(x,\varepsilon)\cap Q_i\neq\emptyset$ but $Q_i\not\subset B(x,\varepsilon)$. Note that $\varepsilon>\sqrt{n}\,\ell(Q_i)/2$. Indeed, if $x_0\in B(x,\varepsilon)\cap Q_i$, $\sqrt{n}\,\ell(Q_i)\le|x-y_i|\le|x_0-x|+|x_0-y_i|<\varepsilon+\frac{\sqrt{n}\,\ell(Q_i)}{2}$ hence $\sqrt{n}\,\ell(Q_i)/2<\varepsilon$. It follows that $Q_i\subset B(x,3\varepsilon)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} K(x,y)H_i(y,t)\,dy\right| &\le \int_{\varepsilon<|x-y|<3\varepsilon}\frac{1}{|x-y|^n}|H_i(y,t)|\,dy\\ &\lesssim \fint_{B(x,3\varepsilon)}|F(y,t)|\mathbf{1}_{Q_i}(y)\,dy+\fint_{B(x,3\varepsilon)}\fint_{Q_i}|F(z,t)|\,dz\mathbf{1}_{Q_i}(y)\,dy \lesssim \fint_{B(x,3\varepsilon)}|F(y,t)|\mathbf{1}_{Q_i}(y)\,dy.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left|\int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} K(x,y)H(y,t)\,dy\right| \lesssim \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{\ell(Q_i)^\delta}{|x-y_i|^{n+\delta}}\int_{Q_i}|F(y,t)|\,dy+\fint_{B(x,3\varepsilon)}|F(y,t)|\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_\lambda}(y)\,dy.$$

Taking the supremum over all $\varepsilon>0$, we obtain,

$$\mathcal{T}_*(H)(x,t)\le\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{\ell(Q_i)^\delta}{|x-y_i|^{n+\delta}}\int_{Q_i}|F(y,t)|\,dy+\mathcal{M}(F)(x,t).$$

Therefore, by Minkowski inequality

$$\mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{T}_*(H))(x)\le\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{\ell(Q_i)^\delta}{|x-y_i|^{n+\delta}}\int_{Q_i}|\mathcal{V}_r(F)(y)|\,dy+\mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{M}(F))(x).$$

Consequently, applying Fefferman-Stein weak type $(1,1)$ inequality and Chebychev's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\left(\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}2\sqrt{n}\,Q_i\right):\mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{T}_*(H))(x)>\frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right|\\ &\quad\lesssim\left|\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\mathcal{V}_r(\mathcal{M}(F))(x)>\frac{\lambda}{4}\right\}\right|+\frac{1}{\lambda}\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 2\sqrt{n}\,Q_i}\frac{\ell(Q_i)^\delta}{|x-y_i|^{n+\delta}}\,dx\int_{Q_i}|\mathcal{V}_r(F)(y)|\,dy\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\|\mathcal{V}_r(F)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \int_{\Omega_\lambda} |\mathcal{V}_r(F)(y)|\,dy\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}\|\mathcal{V}_r F\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

□

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 2.2, part (*c*).** For $q \leq 1$, the space T^q_r has an atomic decomposition. An atom in T^q_r is a measurable function $A(x,t)$ such that there exists a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\operatorname{supp}(A) \subset \widehat{B} := \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ : d(x, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B) \geq t\}$, and

$$\left(\iint_{\widehat{B}} |A(x,t)|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq |B|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{5.7}$$

In order to keep things clear we write this as a proposition, whose proof can be found in [14, Section 8, Proposition 5].

Proposition 5.8. *Let $F \in T^q_r$, $0 < q \leq 1$ and $1 < r < \infty$. Then $F = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i A_i$, where A_i are T^q_r atoms, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\lambda_i|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \|F\|_{T^q_r}$. Conversely, any such sum converges in T^q_r and $\|\sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i A_i\|_{T^q_r} \lesssim \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\lambda_i|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$.*

Let us now introduce, for $0 < q \leq 1$ and $1 < r < \infty$, a subspace of T^q_r that we denote by $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$. We say that A is a $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ atom if it is a T^q_r atom and satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(x,t)dx = 0$ for a.e. $t > 0$. This integral makes sense as

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A(x,t)|\,dx\right)^r \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \left(\iint_{\widehat{B}} |A(x,t)|^r\,dx\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} |B|^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \leq |B|^{1-\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

We define $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ as the subspace of $F \in T^q_r$ such that F has an atomic decomposition with A_i being $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ atom and $\left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\lambda_i|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty$.

The reason to introduce those spaces is because, for $0 < q \leq 1$, we can not obtain boundedness of singular integrals (and in general of Calderón-Zygmund operators) from the tent space T^q_r to itself. If we want to arrive into T^q_r, an option is to take functions in $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$. Note that T^q_r atoms, hence $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ atoms, belong to T^r_r.

Lemma 5.9. *Suppose that $\mathcal{U} : T^r_r \to T^r_r$ is a linear and bounded operator and that there exists $C < \infty$ such that for all $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ atom A, $\|\mathcal{U}(A)\|_{T^q_r} \leq C$. Then, $\mathcal{U}$ has a bounded extension from $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ to T^q_r.*

Proof. Let A be a $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ atom such that $\operatorname{supp}(A) \subset \widehat{B}$, for some ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Defining, for $0 < \eta < \rho$, where ρ is the radius of B,

$$A_\eta(y,t) := \begin{cases} A(y,t) \text{ if } t > \eta, \\ 0 \text{ if } t \leq \eta, \end{cases}$$

we have that $A - A_\eta$ are $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ atoms, uniformly in η, thus

$$\|A - A_\eta\|_{\mathfrak{T}^q_r} \leq |B|^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r}} \left(\iint_{\widehat{B}} |A(x,t) - A_\eta(x,t)|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \to 0$$

by the dominated convergence theorem. This and the fact that finite linear combinations of $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ atoms are dense in $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ by definition, imply that the set E_r of compactly supported functions φ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ that are r integrable and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x,t)\,dx = 0$ for a.e. $t > 0$ is dense in $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$. Then, let $F \in E_r$ and take a decomposition $F = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda_i A_i$, where A_i are $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ atoms and $\left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\lambda_i|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq 2\|F\|_{\mathfrak{T}^q_r}$. Since the t support of F is contained in some interval $[a,b]$, we may eliminate the atoms associated to balls

with radii less than a. Following the proof of Theorem 4.9 in [7], we obtain that the decomposition converges in T_r^r. Thus we may write

$$\mathcal{U}(F) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i \, \mathcal{U}(A_i)$$

and use the hypothesis to conclude that $\|\mathcal{U}(F)\|_{T_r^q} \le 2C\|F\|_{\mathfrak{T}_r^q}$. By density, we conclude the argument. □

We say that function M is a T_r^q molecule if there exists a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that, for some $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left(\iint_{\widehat{4B}} |M(x,t)|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \le |4B|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}}$$

and, for all $j \ge 2$,

$$\left(\iint_{\widehat{C}_j} |M(x,t)|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \le 2^{-(j+1)\varepsilon} |2^{j+1}B|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}},$$

where we define $\widehat{C_j} := \widehat{2^{j+1}B} \setminus \widehat{2^jB}$ and $\widehat{C_1} = \widehat{4B}$. By writing $M = \sum_{j\ge1} \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{C}_j} M$ and observing that the functions $\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{C}_j} M$ are T_r^q atoms up to factor $2^{-(j+1)\varepsilon}$, we obtain $\|M\|_{T_r^q} \le \left(\sum_{j\ge1} |2^{-(j+1)\varepsilon}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$.

Let us finally prove part (c) of Theorem 2.2. We follow the same scheme as in [15] and show that Calderón-Zygmund operators of order $\delta \in (0,1]$ apply $\mathfrak{T}_r^q$ atoms to T_r^q molecules, provided that $q > \frac{n}{n+\delta}$, up to a constant that depends uniquely on δ, n, r, q and the properties of the operator. From the previous lemma, this suffices.

Let A is a $\mathfrak{T}_r^q$ atom. Let B be a ball such that $\operatorname{supp} A \subset \widehat{B}$, and

$$\left(\iint_{\widehat{B}} |A(x,t)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \le |B|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

We shall show that, for $\varepsilon = n + \delta - \frac{n}{q}$ which is positive since $q > \frac{n}{n+\delta}$,

(1) $\left(\iint_{\widehat{4B}} |\mathcal{T}(A(\cdot,t))(x)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim |4B|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}}$;

(2) for $j \ge 2$, $\left(\iint_{\widehat{C}_j} |\mathcal{T}(A(\cdot,t))(x)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim 2^{-(j+1)\varepsilon} |B_{j+1}|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}}$.

For each $j \ge 2$, denote by $r_j := 2^j r_B$ and $B_j := B(x_B, r_j)$. Besides, recall that $\widehat{C_1} := \widehat{B_2}$ and $\widehat{C_j} := \widehat{B_{j+1}} \setminus \widehat{B_j}$, for all $j \ge 2$.

We start by proving (1). Since $\mathcal{T}$ is bounded in T_r^r, we have that

$$\left(\iint_{\widehat{4B}} |\mathcal{T}(A(\cdot,t))(x)|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \left(\iint_{\widehat{B}} |A(x,t)|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim |4B|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

On the other hand, for $j \ge 2$, because $A(x,t) = 0$ for $t > r_B$, the radius of B,

$$\left(\iint_{\widehat{C_j}} |\mathcal{T}(A(\cdot,t))(x)|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \le \left(\int_0^{r_B} \int_{B_{j+1}\setminus B_{j-1}} |\mathcal{T}(A(\cdot,t))(x)|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Now, applying the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(x,t)\,dx = 0$ for a.e. $t > 0$, and the property (5.2) of the kernel K, we obtain that

$$I \le \left(\int_0^{r_B} \int_{r_{j-1}\le|x-x_B|<r_{j+1}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x,y)A(y,t)\,dy \right|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$= \left(\int_0^{r_B} \int_{r_{j-1}\le|x-x_B|<r_{j+1}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (K(x,y)-K(x,x_B))A(y,t)\,dy \right|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_0^{r_B} \int_{r_{j-1}\le|x-x_B|<r_{j+1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|x_B-y|^\delta}{|x-x_B|^{n+\delta}} |A(y,t)|\,dy \right)^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_0^{r_B} \int_{r_{j-1}\le|x-x_B|<r_{j+1}} \fint_B |A(y,t)|^r\,dy \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} 2^{-(j+1)(n+\delta)}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_0^{r_B} \int_B |A(y,t)|^r \frac{dy\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} 2^{-(j+1)\left(n\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)+\delta\right)}$$

$$\lesssim 2^{-(j+1)\left(n\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)+\delta\right)} |B|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}} = 2^{-(j+1)\left(n+\delta-\frac{n}{q}\right)} |2^{j+1}B|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

This shows (2). □

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 2.2, part** (d)**.** Remark that if M is a T_r^q molecule, then

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M(x,t))|\,dx \right)^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \sum_{j\ge1} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{C}_j}(x,t)|M(x,t)|\,dx \right)^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{j\ge1} \left(\iint_{\widehat{C}_j} |M(x,t)|^r\,dx \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} |B_{j+1}|^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \sum_{j\ge1} 2^{-(j+1)\varepsilon} |B_{j+1}|^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim |B|^{1-\frac{1}{q}} < \infty$$

as $1-\frac{1}{q}\le 0$. Therefore, if, in addition, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(x,t)\,dx = 0$, for a.e. $t>0$, we say that M is a $\mathfrak{T}_r^q$ molecule. A $\mathfrak{T}_r^q$ molecule can be written as a series of $\mathfrak{T}_r^q$ atoms. We see that in the next result.

Proposition 5.10. *There exists a constant $C<\infty$ such that given a $\mathfrak{T}_r^q$ molecule M, we have that $M\in\mathfrak{T}_r^q$, with $\|M\|_{\mathfrak{T}_r^q}\le C$.*

Proof. Let M be a $\mathfrak{T}_r^q$ molecule with associated ball $B=B(x_B,r_B)$. Following the notation in the previous proof, write

$$M(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left(M(x,t)\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{C}_j}(x,t) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{C}_j}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_{j+1}}(x)}{|B_{j+1}|} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{C}_j}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_{j+1}}(x)}{|B_{j+1}|}.$$

For all $j\ge1$, define

$$\alpha_j(x,t) := M(x,t)\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{C}_j}(x,t) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{C}_j}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_{j+1}}(x)}{|B_{j+1}|},$$

and observe that $\operatorname{supp}\alpha_j \subset B_{j+1}\times(0,r_{j+1}]\subset \widehat{B_{j+2}}$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \alpha_j(x,t)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{C}_j}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy \left(1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_{j+1}}(x)}{|B_{j+1}|}\,dx \right) = 0.$$

Besides,

$$\left(\iint_{\widehat{B_{j+2}}} |\alpha_j(x,t)|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \le \left(\iint_{\widehat{C}_j} |M(x,t)|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$+ \left(\iint_{\widehat{B_{j+2}}} \mathbf{1}_{B_{j+1}}(x) \left(\frac{1}{|B_{j+1}|} \int_{B_{j+1}} \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{C}_j}(y,t)|M(y,t)|\,dy \right)^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \le 2 \left(\iint_{\widehat{C}_j} |M(x,t)|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\leq 2^{-(j+1)\varepsilon+1}|B_{j+1}|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}} = c2^{-j\varepsilon}|B_{j+2}|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}},$$

where c depends on ε, r, q only. Therefore, $A_j := \frac{2^{j\varepsilon}}{c}\alpha_j$ is a $\mathfrak{T}_r^q$ atom, for all $j \geq 1$.

On the other hand, note that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{C_j}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy\frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_{j+1}}(x)}{|B_{j+1}|} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{B_2}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy\frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_2}(x)}{|B_2|}\\ &+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{B_{j+1}}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{B_j}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy\right)\frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_{j+1}}(x)}{|B_{j+1}|}\\ &=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{B_{j+1}}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy\left(\frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_{j+1}}(x)}{|B_{j+1}|}-\frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_{j+2}}(x)}{|B_{j+2}|}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then, considering

$$\beta_j(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{B_{j+1}}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy\left(\frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_{j+1}}(x)}{|B_{j+1}|}-\frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_{j+2}}(x)}{|B_{j+2}|}\right),$$

we have that $\operatorname{supp}\beta_j \subset \widehat{B_{j+3}}$, and that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\beta_j(x,t)dx = 0.$$

Besides, since, for a. e. $t > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}M(y,t)\,dy = 0,$$

then, for each $j \geq 1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+\setminus\widehat{B_{j+1}}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{B_{j+1}}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy, \quad \text{for a.e. } t>0.$$

This, together with the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{B_{j+1}}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy = \mathbf{1}_{(0,r_{j+1})}(t)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{B_{j+1}}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy,$$

gives, for a.e. $t > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{B_{j+1}}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy = -\mathbf{1}_{(0,r_{j+1})}(t)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+\setminus\widehat{B_{j+1}}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy.$$

Hence, for all $j \geq 1$,

$$\beta_j(x,t) = \mathbf{1}_{(0,r_{j+1})}(t)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+\setminus\widehat{B_{j+1}}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy\left(\frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_{j+2}}(x)}{|B_{j+2}|}-\frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_{j+1}}(x)}{|B_{j+1}|}\right), \quad \text{for a.e. } t>0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\iint_{\widehat{B_{j+3}}}|\beta_j(x,t)|^r\frac{dx\,dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} &\lesssim \sum_{i\geq j+1}\frac{|B_{i+1}|}{|B_{j+1}|}\left(\iint_{\widehat{B_{j+3}}}\mathbf{1}_{B_{j+2}}(x)\left|\frac{1}{|B_{i+1}|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{C_i}}(y,t)M(y,t)\,dy\right|^r\frac{dx\,dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{i\geq j+1}\left(\frac{|B_{i+1}|}{|B_{j+1}|}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{r}}\left(\iint_{\widehat{C_i}}|M(y,t)|^r\frac{dy\,dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \sum_{i\geq j+1}2^{-(i+1)\varepsilon}|B_{j+1}|^{\frac{1}{r}-1}|B_{i+1}|^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\\ &\lesssim |B_{j+3}|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}}\sum_{i\geq j+1}2^{-(i+1)\varepsilon} \leq c'2^{-j\varepsilon}|B_{j+3}|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}},\end{aligned}$$

where c' depends on ε, r, q only. Hence, $A'_j(x,t) := \frac{2^{j\varepsilon}}{c'}\beta_j$ is a $\mathfrak{T}_r^q$ atom.

Therefore, we have shown that $M = \sum_{j\geq 1} c2^{-j\varepsilon}A_j + \sum_{j\geq 1} c'2^{-j\varepsilon}A'_j$, which evidently shows that $M \in \mathfrak{T}^q_r$ with norm bounded by $(c+c')\left(\sum_{j\geq 1} |2^{-j\varepsilon q}|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$. □

Let us finally show that if $\mathcal{T}$ is a Calderón-Zygmund operator, then $\mathcal{T}$ applies $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ atoms to $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ molecules, up to a uniform constant. Note that, from the above proposition, and an adaptation of Lemma 5.9, this is enough to conclude the proof.

From the part (c) of the proof, we already know that $\mathcal{T}$ applies $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ atoms to T^q_r molecules, up to a uniform constant. It remains to show $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{T}(A(\cdot,t))(x)\,dx = 0$. Note for almost every $t>0$, $A(\cdot,t)$ is a multiple of an atom in the Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, its support is contained in B, it is in $L^r(B)$ with $r>1$ and has mean value 0. We knew that $\mathcal{T}(A(\cdot,t)) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ since $\mathcal{T}(A)$ has been shown to be a T^q_r molecule. Thus, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{T}(A(\cdot,t))(x)\,dx = 0$ as $\mathcal{T}^*(1)=0$.

6. Riesz potentials and fractional maximal functions

For $0<\alpha<n$, consider the Riesz potential

$$\mathcal{I}_\alpha(f)(x) := \frac{1}{\gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-\alpha}} f(z)\,dz,$$

where $\gamma(\alpha) = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha/2)/\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2}\right)$, and the fractional maximal function

$$\mathcal{M}_\alpha(f)(x) = \sup_{\tau>0} \tau^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \fint_{B(x,\tau)} |f(y)|dy.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{M}_\alpha(f)(x) \leq V_n^{-1} \mathcal{I}_\alpha(|f|)(x), \text{ for all } x\in\mathbb{R}^n, \tag{6.1}$$

where V_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Consequently, it is enough to prove Theorem 2.3 for Riesz potentials. Let us start by proving the following pointwise inequality.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $0<\alpha<n$, $1<\vartheta<r<\infty$, and $\frac{\alpha}{n} = \frac{1}{\vartheta} - \frac{1}{r}$. Then, for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $t>0$, if f is locally ϑ integrable,*

$$\left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\mathcal{I}_\alpha(f)(y)|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim t^{n\left(\frac{1}{\vartheta}-\frac{1}{r}\right)} \left(\fint_{B(x,5t)} |f(y)|^\vartheta dy\right)^{\frac{1}{\vartheta}} + \mathcal{I}_\alpha\left(\fint_{B(\cdot,t)} |f(z)|dz\right)(x).$$

Proof. For each $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $t>0$, split the support of f into $B(x,5t)$ and $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,5t)$. Then,

$$\left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\mathcal{I}_\alpha(f)(y)|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\mathbf{1}_{B(x,5t)}f))(y)|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$+ \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left|\int_{|x-z|>5t} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} f(z)\,dz\right|^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} =: I + II.$$

On the one hand, using that $\mathcal{I}_\alpha : L^\vartheta(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [37, Theorem 1, p.119]), obtain that

$$I \lesssim t^{n\left(\frac{1}{\vartheta}-\frac{1}{r}\right)} \left(\fint_{B(x,5t)} |f(y)|^\vartheta dy\right)^{\frac{1}{\vartheta}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$II \lesssim \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left(\int_{|x-z|>5t} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} |f(z)| \fint_{B(z,t)} d\xi\,dz\right)^r dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left(\int_{|x-\xi|>4t} \fint_{B(\xi,t)} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} |f(z)|\,dz\,d\xi \right)^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$\lesssim \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left(\int_{|x-\xi|>4t} \frac{1}{|x-\xi|^{n-\alpha}} \fint_{B(\xi,t)} \left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{|y-z|} \right)^{n-\alpha} |f(z)|\,dz\,d\xi \right)^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$\lesssim \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} \left(\int_{|x-\xi|>4t} \frac{1}{|x-\xi|^{n-\alpha}} \fint_{B(\xi,t)} |f(z)|\,dz\,d\xi \right)^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$= \int_{|x-\xi|>4t} \frac{1}{|x-\xi|^{n-\alpha}} \fint_{B(\xi,t)} |f(z)|\,dz\,d\xi \lesssim \mathcal{I}_\alpha \left(\fint_{B(\cdot,t)} |f(z)|dz \right)(x).$$

□

6.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.3.** Let $F \in T_r^p$. Taking $\vartheta = \frac{nr}{\alpha r+n}$ in Lemma 6.2, we obtain that

$$\|\mathcal{I}_\alpha(F)\|_{T_r^q} \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty t^{n\left(\frac{r}{\vartheta}-1\right)} \left(\fint_{B(x,5t)} |F(y,t)|^\vartheta dy \right)^{\frac{r}{\vartheta}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$+ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\mathcal{I}_\alpha \left(\fint_{B(\cdot,t)} |F(y,t)|dy \right)(x) \right)^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} =: I + II.$$

Since $r > \vartheta$, applying successively Jensen's inequality, [1, Theorem 2.19] for $s_1 = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{\vartheta}$, $s_0 = 0$, $p_0 = p$, $p_1 = q$, and $q = r$, and [14, Section 3, Proposition 4] (we use this proposition for r instead of 2, but the proof is the same),

$$I \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,5t)} \left| t^{n\left(\frac{1}{\vartheta}-\frac{1}{r}\right)} F(y,t) \right|^r \frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,5t)} |F(y,t)|^r \frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{p}{r}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim \|F\|_{T_r^p}.$$

Finally, to estimate II, we shall proceed by extrapolation. We first recall some definitions. We say that a weight w is a $A_{\tau,s}$ weight, for $1 < \tau \le s < \infty$, if it satisfies for every $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ that

$$\left(\fint_B w(x)^s\,dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(\fint_B w(x)^{-\tau'}\,dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau'}} \le C.$$

Now, since $0 < \alpha < n$ and $1 < \vartheta < \frac{n}{\alpha}$ with $\frac{1}{\vartheta} - \frac{1}{r} = \frac{\alpha}{n}$, by [36, Theorem 4] for all $w \in A_{\vartheta,r}$ we have that $\mathcal{I}_\alpha : L^\vartheta(w^\vartheta) \to L^r(w^r)$. This and Minkowski's integral inequality imply

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \left| \mathcal{I}_\alpha \left(\fint_{B(\cdot,t)} |F(y,t)|dy \right)(x) \right|^r \frac{dt}{t} w(x)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$\lesssim \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \mathcal{I}_\alpha \left(\fint_{B(\cdot,t)} |F(y,t)|dy \right)(x) \right|^r w(x)^r dx \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$\lesssim \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |F(y,t)|dy \right)^\vartheta w(x)^\vartheta dx \right)^{\frac{r}{\vartheta}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |F(y,t)|dy \right)^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{\vartheta}{r}} w(x)^\vartheta dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\vartheta}}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} |F(y,t)|^r \frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{\vartheta}{r}} w(x)^\vartheta dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\vartheta}}.$$

Then, since $1 < \vartheta < r < \infty$ and $1 < p < q < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{\vartheta} - \frac{1}{r}$, applying [17, Theorem 3.23], we have that, for all $w_0 \in A_{p,q}$, and $F \in T^p_r$,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\mathcal{I}_\alpha \left(\fint_{B(\cdot,t)} |F(y,t)|dy \right)(x) \right)^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} w_0(x)^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} |F(y,t)|^r \frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{p}{r}} w_0(x)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In particular for $w_0 \equiv 1$, we have that $w_0 \in A_{p,q}$. Hence,

$$II \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} |F(y,t)|^r \frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{p}{r}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|F\|_{T^p_r}.$$

□

7. Riesz transform

Consider a second order divergence form elliptic operator L which is defined as

$$L f = -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla f)$$

and is understood in the standard weak sense as a maximal-accretive operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(L)$ by means of a sesquilinear form, and where A is an $n \times n$ matrix of complex and L^∞-valued coefficients defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We assume that this matrix satisfies the following ellipticity (or "accretivity") condition: there exist $0 < \lambda \le \Lambda < \infty$ such that

$$\lambda |\xi|^2 \le \operatorname{Re} A(x)\xi \cdot \overline{\xi} \qquad \text{and} \qquad |A(x)\xi \cdot \overline{\zeta}| \le \Lambda |\xi||\zeta|,$$

for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We have used the notation $\xi \cdot \zeta = \xi_1 \zeta_1 + \cdots + \xi_n \zeta_n$ and therefore $\xi \cdot \overline{\zeta}$ is the usual inner product in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Note that then $A(x)\xi \cdot \zeta = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k}(x) \xi_k \zeta_j$.

We recall some facts regarding the operator $-L$. This operator generates a C^0-semigroup $\{e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ of contractions on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is called the heat semigroup. As in [2] and [5], we denote by $(p_-(L), p_+(L))$ the maximal open interval on which this semigroup $\{e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ is uniformly bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$p_-(L) := \inf \left\{ p \in (1,\infty) : \sup_{t>0} \|e^{-tL}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\}, \tag{7.1}$$

$$p_+(L) := \sup \left\{ p \in (1,\infty) : \sup_{t>0} \|e^{-tL}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\}. \tag{7.2}$$

Moreover, we denote by $(q_-(L), q_+(L))$ the maximal open interval on which the gradient of the heat semigroup, i.e. $\{t\nabla_y e^{-t^2 L}\}_{t>0}$, is uniformly bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$q_-(L) := \inf \left\{ p \in (1,\infty) : \sup_{t>0} \|t\nabla_y e^{-t^2 L}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\}, \tag{7.3}$$

$$q_+(L) := \sup\left\{ p \in (1,\infty) : \sup_{t>0} \|t\nabla_y e^{-t^2L}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\}. \tag{7.4}$$

From [2] (see also [5]) we know that $p_-(L) = 1$ and $p_+(L) = \infty$ if $n = 1, 2$; and if $n \geq 3$ then $p_-(L) < \frac{2n}{n+2}$ and $p_+(L) > \frac{2n}{n-2}$. Moreover, $q_-(L) = p_-(L)$, $q_+(L) \leq p_+(L)$, and we always have $q_+(L) > 2$, with $q_+(L) = \infty$ if $n = 1$.

We shall obtain a pointwise inequality for the Riesz transform taking a generalized version of two inequalities that appear in [2, Lemma 4.8 and (4.6)]. These are:

Lemma 7.5. *For every ball B, with radius r_B, and $q_-(L) < r < q_+(L)$,*

$$\|\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(I - e^{-r_B^2 L})^M h\|_{L^r(B)} \leq |B|^{\frac{1}{r}} \sum_{j\geq 1} g(j) \left(\fint_{2^{j+1}B} |h|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

with $g(j) = C2^{j\frac{n}{2}} 4^{-jM}$, where $M \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary and C depends on M.

Lemma 7.6. *For every ball B, with radius r_B, any constant $k > 0$, and $q_-(L) < p_0 \leq r < q_+(L)$,*

$$\left(\fint_B |\nabla e^{-kr_B^2 L} h|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \sum_{j\geq 1} g(j) \left(\fint_{2^{j+1}B} |\nabla h|^{p_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}},$$

with $\sum_{j\geq 1} g(j) < \infty$.

It is in the first inequality that was used the integral representation $\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}} h = \pi^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty \nabla e^{-tL} h \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}}$ for appropriate h (to replace for the kernel representation in the case of Calderón-Zygmund operators).

From these two results we have the following corollary.

Corollary 7.7. *Let $q_-(L) < p_0 < r < q_+(L)$. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$ and $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

$$\left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f)(y)|^r \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{j\geq 1} 4^{-jM} \left(\int_{B(x,2^{j+1}t)} |f(y)|^r \frac{dy}{t^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \sum_{k=1}^{M} C_{k,M} \mathcal{M}_{p_0} \left(\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L}(f) \right)(x),$$

where $M \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrarily large and $\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(f) := (\mathcal{M}(|f|^{p_0}))^{\frac{1}{p_0}}$.

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t > 0$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrarily large. We have that

$$\left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f)(y)|^r \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$\lesssim \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(I - e^{-t^2L})^M (f)(y)|^r \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_{t,M}(f)(y)|^r \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} =: I + II,$$

where $A_{t,M} := I - (I - e^{-t^2L})^M$. Then, applying Lemma 7.5 for $B = B(x,t)$ and $h = f$, we obtain that

$$I \lesssim \sum_{j\geq 1} 4^{-jM} \left(\int_{B(x,2^{j+1}t)} |f(y)|^r \frac{dy}{t^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

As for the estimate of II, note that expanding the binomial expression, we have that $A_{t,M} = \sum_{k=1}^{M} C_{k,M} e^{-kt^2L}$. Then, applying Lemma 7.6 for $B = B(x,t)$ and $h = L^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L} f$,

$$II \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{M} C_{k,M} \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\nabla e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L} L^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L}(f)(y)|^r \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{M} C_{k,M} \sum_{j\geq 1} g(j) \left(\fint_{B(x,2^{j+1}t)} |\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L}(f)(y)|^{p_0} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{M} C_{k,M} \mathcal{M}_{p_0} \left(\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L}(f) \right)(x).$$

□

7.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.4.** Recall that the Riesz transform associated with this operator L, acting over a function $F \in T^r_r$ (so that $F(\cdot,t) \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for almost every $t>0$), is defined by $\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(F(\cdot,t))(x)$ for almost every $t>0$. Applying Corollary 7.7, we obtain, for all $F \in T^r_r$,

$$\|\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(F)\|_{T^q_r} \lesssim \sum_{j\geq 1} 4^{-jM} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,2^{j+1}t)} |F(y,t)|^r \frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{M} C_{k,M} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \mathcal{M}_{p_0} \left(\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L}(F(\cdot,t)) \right)(x) \right|^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} =: I + \sum_{k=0}^{M} C_{k,M} II.$$

Applying [14, Section 3, Proposition 4] or [3], but taking r in place of 2 (the proof is the same), and taking $M > \frac{n}{\min\{q,r\}}$, we have that

$$I \lesssim \sum_{j\geq 1} 4^{-j\left(M-\frac{n}{\min\{q,r\}}\right)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} |F(y,t)|^r \frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \|F\|_{T^q_r}.$$

Finally the estimate of II follows by extrapolation. For all weights $w \in A_{\frac{r}{q_-(L)}} \cap RH_{\left(\frac{q_+(L)}{r}\right)'}$ we have that $\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}} : L^r(w) \to L^r(w)$ ([6, Theorem 5.2]) and that $\mathcal{M}_{p_0} : L^r(w) \to L^r(w)$, for some $p_0 > q_-(L)$ close enough to $q_-(L)$ so that $w \in A_{\frac{r}{p_0}}$. Besides, we can also take $r < q_0 < q_+(L)$ so that $w \in RH_{\left(\frac{q_0}{r}\right)'}$. Using these three facts, applying Hölder's inequality for $\frac{q_0}{r}$, the $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) - L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ off-diagonal estimates that the semigroup $\{e^{-t^2L}\}_{t>0}$ satisfies (see [2]), and Fubini's theorem, we have that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L}(F(\cdot,t)))(x)|^r \frac{dt}{t} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L}(F(\cdot,t))(x)|^r w(x) dx \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$= \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L}(F(\cdot,t))(x)|^r \int_{B(x,t)} w(y) \frac{dy}{w(B(x,t))} w(x) dx \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \int_{B(y,t)} |e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L}(F(\cdot,t))(x)|^r w(x) \frac{dx}{w(B(x,t))} \frac{dt}{t} w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \int_{B(y,t)} |e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L}(F(\cdot,t))(x)|^r w(x) dx \frac{dt}{t w(B(y,t))} w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{B(y,t)} |e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L}(F(\cdot,t)(x)|^{q_0} dx \right)^{\frac{r}{q_0}} \left(\int_{B(y,t)} w(x)^{\left(\frac{q_0}{r}\right)'} dx \right)^{\frac{q_0-r}{q_0}} \frac{dt}{t w(B(y,t))} w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{j\geq 1} e^{-c4^j}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty \int_{B(y,2^{j+1}t)} |F(x,t)|^r dx \left(\fint_{B(y,t)} w(x)^{\left(\frac{q_0}{r}\right)'}dx\right)^{\frac{q_0-r}{q_0}} \frac{dt}{tw(B(y,t))}w(y)dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{j\geq 1} e^{-c4^j}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty \int_{B(y,2^{j+1}t)} |F(x,t)|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t^{n+1}}w(y)dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty \int_{B(y,t)} |F(x,t)|^r \frac{dx\,dt}{t^{n+1}}w(y)dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

The second inequality follows from the fact that $B(y,t)\subset B(x,2t)$ if $x\in B(y,t)$ and from the doubling property of the weight. Then, $w(B(y,t))\leq w(B(x,2t)\leq 2^{nc_w}w(B(x,t))$.

Therefore, we have that, for all $w\in A_{\frac{r}{q_-(L)}}\cap RH_{\left(\frac{q_+(L)}{r}\right)'}$ and $F\in T^r_r$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\int_0^\infty |\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L}(F(\cdot,t)))(x)|^r\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{r}{r}}w(x)dx\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\int_0^\infty\int_{B(x,t)}|F(y,t)|^r\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{r}{r}}w(x)dx.$$

Recall that p_0 depended on w. But if we now fix $p_0>q_-(L)$, we have this inequality for all $w\in A_{\frac{r}{p_0}}\cap RH_{\left(\frac{q_+(L)}{r}\right)'}$. Then, applying [17, Theorem 3.31], we obtain that, for all $p_0<q<q_+(L)$, $w_0\in A_{\frac{q}{p_0}}\cap RH_{\left(\frac{q_+(L)}{q}\right)'}$, and all $F\in T^r_r$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\int_0^\infty |\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{kt^2}{2}L}(F(\cdot,t)))(x)|^r\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q}{r}}w_0(x)dx\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\int_0^\infty\int_{B(x,t)}|F(y,t)|^r\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{q}{r}}w_0(x)dx.$$

In particular, if we take $w_0\equiv 1$, we have that $w_0\in A_{\frac{q}{p_0}}\cap RH_{\left(\frac{q_+(L)}{q}\right)'}$. Then, for all $p_0<r,q<q_+(L)$ and $1\leq k\leq M$, we finally conclude that

$$II\lesssim \|F\|_{T^q_r}.$$

In conclusion, we obtain $\|\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(F)\|_{T^q_r}\lesssim \|F\|_{T^q_r}$ for all $p_0<r,q<q_+(L)$ and all $q_-(L)<p_0<q_+(L)$, and for all $F\in T^r_r$. The density of $T^r_r\cap T^q_r$ in T^q_r finishes the proof. □

8. Amalgam spaces and generalization

Amalgam spaces were first defined by Norbert Wiener in 1926, in the formulation of his generalized harmonic analysis. Although, he considered the particular cases $W(L^1,\ell^2)$ and $W(L^2,L^\infty)$ in [40], and $W(L^1,L^\infty)$ and $W(L^\infty,L^1)$ in [41], in general, for $1\leq p,q<\infty$, the amalgam space $W(L^p,L^q)$ is defined as

$$W(L^p,L^q):=\left\{f\in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}):\left(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\int_n^{n+1}|f(t)|^p dt\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}<\infty\right\}.$$

A significant difference in considering amalgam spaces instead of L^p spaces is that amalgam spaces give information about the local, L^q, and global, L^p, properties of the functions, while L^p spaces do not make that distinction.

A generalization of the definition of amalgam spaces for Banach function spaces was done by Feichtinger (see for instance [23] and [24]). For B and C Banach function spaces on a locally compact group G, satisfying certain conditions, he defined spaces $W(B,C)$ of distributions. The important thing is that we have equivalence of continuous and discrete norms on those spaces. This has been an important tool in applications. We refer to [27] for a deeper discussion on amalgam spaces in the real line.

Going on in the historical background of amalgam spaces, we highlight the paper of Holland, [30], that appears to be the first methodical study on amalgam spaces. After that there were important studies on amalgam spaces, for example, by Bertrandias, Datry, and Dupuis [10], Stewart [38], and Busby and Smith [11]. For a complete survey on amalgam spaces see [22].

A natural definition of amalgam spaces in dimension $n \geq 2$ is

$$(L^p, L^q)(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\mathbf{1}_{B(x,1)} f\|_{L^p}^q \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Beside, for $1 \leq \alpha \leq \infty$, the subspace $(L^p, L^q)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $(L^p, L^q)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined in [21] by

$$(L^p, L^q)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{(L^p,L^q)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{(L^p,L^q)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{r>0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|B(y,r)|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \|\mathbf{1}_{B(x,r)} f\|_{L^p} \right)^q \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

In [9] retracts of tent spaces called slice-spaces, are used. It turns out that they are closely related with amalgam spaces. Let us generalize their definition. For each $t > 0$ and $0 < p, r < \infty$, the slice-space $(E^p_r)_t$ is defined as the following set:

$$(E^p_r)_t := \left\{ f \in L^r_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |f(y)|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$$

with

$$\|f\|_{(E^p_r)_t} = \left(\left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |f(y)|^r dy \right)^{\frac{p}{r}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Besides, consider the weak slice-spaces

$$(wE^p_r)_t := \left\{ f \in L^r_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |f(y)|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \in L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}.$$

with

$$\|f\|_{(wE^p_r)_t} = \left\| \left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |f(y)|^r dy \right)^{\frac{p}{r}} dx \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For $1 \leq r, p < \infty$, note that, for $n = 1$, $(E^p_r)_1 = W(L^r, L^p)$, and for $n \geq 2$, $(E^p_r)_1 = (L^r, L^p)(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, for $p \in [r, \infty)$, since $\|f\|_{(E^p_r)_t} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}$, for all $t > 0$, $(E^p_r)_t = (L^r, L^p)^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Boundedness on amalgam spaces of the Hardy Littlewood maximal operator, of Calderón-Zygmund operators, of maximal fractional operators, Riesz potentials, etc, has been studied. See for instance [12], [16], [20], [32]. From Lemmas 5.4, 4.1 and 6.2, and Corollary 7.7, we can obtain easily boundedness of those operators on slice-spaces, and, therefore, on amalgam spaces. This significantly simplifies the previous proofs on this issue.

Let $1 \leq r < \infty$. Let $t > 0$. Consider the applications i_t and π_t in [9]: for $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$i_t(f)(x,s) = f(x)\mathbf{1}_{[t,et]}(s),$$

and for $G : \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$\pi_t(G)(x) = \int_t^{et} G(x,s) \frac{ds}{s}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\pi_t \circ i_t(f) = f.$$

Lemma 8.1. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$. Then $i_t : (E_r^p)_t \to T_r^p$ and $\pi_t : T_r^p \to (E_r^p)_t$ are bounded with the norms being uniform with respect to t. In particular, the slice-spaces $(E_r^p)_t$ are retracts of T_r^p. The same happens for the weak slice-spaces, they are retracts of the weak tent spaces.*

Proof. For the slice-spaces when $r = 2$, this is observed without proof in [9]. The proof is the same for all (weak) slice-spaces. It suffices to note that

$$\left(\fint_{B(x,t)} |\pi_t(G)(y)|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C \mathcal{A}_r(G)(x)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_r(i_t(f))(x) \leq C \left(\fint_{B(x,et)} |f(y)|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

for some dimensional constants C, and to use the norm comparison below for the slice-spaces and similarly for the weak slice-spaces. □

Lemma 8.2. *If $0 < t, s < \infty$ with $t \sim s$, $1 \leq r < \infty$ and $p \in (0, \infty)$, then $(E_r^p)_t = (E_r^p)_s$ with*

$$\|f\|_{(E_r^p)_t} \sim_{n,p} \|f\|_{(E_r^p)_s}$$

For any linear operator T on functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$, if $\mathcal{T}$ is its extension to functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by tensorisation, then we have $T = \pi_t \circ \mathcal{T} \circ i_t$. Hence the boundedness of $\mathcal{T}$ carries to T (In the previous theorems, we used the opposite direction: boundedness of T yields boundedness of $\mathcal{T}$. But it was not that immediate). This also applies to maximal operators with easy modifications. So immediate corollaries of our results on tent spaces are the followings.

Proposition 8.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. We have, for all $1 < r < \infty$,*

(a) $\mathcal{M} : (E_r^p)_t \to (E_r^p)_t$ *for all* $1 < p < \infty$.

(b) $\mathcal{M} : (E_r^1)_t \to (wE_r^1)_t$.

Proposition 8.4. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a Calderón-Zygmund operator of order $\delta \in (0, 1]$. We have, for all $1 < r < \infty$,*

(a) $\mathcal{T} : (E_r^p)_t \to (E_r^p)_t$, *for all* $1 < p < \infty$.

(b) $\mathcal{T} : (E_r^1)_t \to (wE_r^1)_t$.

(c) $\mathcal{T} : (\mathfrak{E}_r^p)_t \to (E_r^p)_t$, *for all* $\frac{n}{n+\delta} < p \leq 1$.

(d) $\mathcal{T} : (\mathfrak{E}_r^p)_t \to (\mathfrak{E}_r^p)_t$, *for all* $\frac{n}{n+\delta} < p \leq 1$. *if* $\mathcal{T}^*(1) = 0$.

Here, $(\mathfrak{E}_r^p)_t$ is the space of functions in $(E_r^p)_t$ such that there exists an atomic decomposition $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i a_i$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_i(x)\, dx = 0$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The atoms are defined in [9] for $r = 2$ and this adapts here. It suffices for understanding the statement to remark that $(\mathfrak{E}_r^p)_t = \pi(\mathfrak{T}_r^p)$.

Proposition 8.5. *Let $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ be the maximal fractional and $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ the Riesz potential of order $\alpha \in (0, n)$. We have, for all $\frac{n}{n-\alpha} < r < \infty$ and $1 < p < q < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n}$,*

$$\mathcal{M}_\alpha, \mathcal{I}_\alpha : (E_r^q)_t \to (E_r^p)_t.$$

Proposition 8.6. *Let $\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ be the Riesz transform associated to L. We have, for $q_-(L) < p, r < q_+(L)$,*

$$\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}} : (E_r^p)_t \to (E_r^p)_t.$$

9. Concluding remarks

We note that all the arguments using extrapolation prove much more than what we stated.

For $\frac{n}{n+1} < q < \infty$ and $1 < r < \infty$, one can show that the set

$$E := \left\{ \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x,t)\,dx = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0 \right\}$$

is dense in $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ when $q \le 1$ and in T^q_r when $q > 1$. For $q \le 1$, it suffices to do that on $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ atoms and for $q > 1$, we already know that the space of compactly supported smooth functions in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ is dense and those functions can be approximated in L^r norm imposing the mean value condition using $r > 1$. So the fact that there is a common dense subspace is an indication that the space $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ is not to small.

It is clear one can push Theorem 2.2, part (c) and (d), to any Calderón-Zygmund operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ of order $\delta \ge 1$ (see [18], [26] for definition) imposing more vanishing moments in the definition of $\mathfrak{T}^q_r$ atoms when $q \le \frac{n}{n+1}$ and more cancellation conditions on the adjoint. Similarly, we can play the same game on slice-spaces. These slice-spaces will be subspaces of the classical real Hardy spaces as one can show. We do not insist.

Consider a standard Littlewood-Paley decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^n$ given from a pair of C_0^∞ functions $\psi, \tilde\psi$ with all vanishing moments and such that

$$\int_0^\infty Q_t \tilde Q_t f \frac{dt}{t} = f$$

on appropriate distributions f, where Q_t and $\tilde Q_t$ are convolutions with ψ_t and $\tilde\psi_t$ respectively. We have set $\psi_t(x) = t^{-n}\psi(x/t)$ and likewise for $\tilde\psi_t$. One can show that $f \in H^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies $F(x,t) = \tilde Q_t f(x)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{T}^q_2$ and the action is bounded. Conversely, $F \in \mathfrak{T}^q_2$ implies that $f = \int_0^\infty Q_t F(\cdot,t)\,\frac{dt}{t}$ belongs to $H^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the action is bounded. This is fairly easy to show using atoms and molecules. This can be done for $0 < q \le 1$. Thus, $H^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be seen as a retract of the space $\mathfrak{T}^q_2$. It is also the case using T^q_2 instead as shown in [14]. Nevertheless, the spaces $\mathfrak{T}^q_2$ are preserved by the singular integrals (of convolution) while the T^q_2 are not. It would be interesting to explore further these spaces (interpolation, etc) and their applications. In particular, one could recover boundedness for Calderón-Zygmund operators on tent spaces from interpolation.

References

[1] A. Amenta, *Interpolation and embeddings of weighted tent spaces*. arxiv.org/pdf/1509.05699.pdf 17

[2] P. Auscher, *On necessary and sufficient conditions for L^p-estimates of Riesz transform associated to elliptic operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and related estimates*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **186** (2007), no. 871. 18, 19, 20

[3] P. Auscher, *Change of Angles in tent spaces*, Comptes rendus - Mathématique. **349** (2011), no. 5, 297–301 20

[4] P. Auscher, S. Hofmann, J.M. Martell, *Vertical versus conical square functions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 10, 5469-5489. 3, 8, 9

[5] P. Auscher, J.M. Martell, *Weighted norm inequalities, off-diagonal estimates and elliptic operators. Part II: off-diagonal estimates on spaces of homogeneous type*, J. Evol. Equ. **7** (2007), no. 2, 265-316. 18, 19

[6] P. Auscher, J.M. Martell, *Weighted norm inequalities, off-diagonal estimates and elliptic operators. Part III: harmonic analysis of elliptic operators*. J. Funct. Anal. **241** (2006), 703–746. 20

[7] Auscher, P., McIntosh, A., and Russ, E. Hardy spaces of differential forms on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.* **18** 1, (2008), 192-248. 2, 13

[8] P. Auscher, C. Kriegler, S. Monniaux, P. Portal, *Singular integral operators on tent spaces*, J. Evol. Equ. **12** (2012), no. 4, 741-765. 2

[9] P. Auscher, M. Mourgoglou, *Representation and uniqueness for boundary value elliptic problems via first order systems*, arXiv:1404.2687. 22, 23

[10] J.-P. Bertrandias, C. Datry, and C. Dupuis, *Unions et intersections d'espaces L^p invariantes par translation ou convolution*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **28** (1978), pp. 53-84. 22

[11] R.C. Busby and H.A. Smith, *Product-convolution operators and mixed -norm spaces*. Trans. Amer. Math.Soc., **263** (1981), pp. 309-341. 22

[12] C. Carton-Lebrun, H. Heinig, S. Hofmann, *Integral operators on weighted amalgams* , Studia Math **109** (1994), 133-157. 22
[13] R.R. Coifman, C. Fefferman, *Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals*, Studia Mathematica, T. Li. (1974). 8
[14] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, E.M. Stein, *Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis*. J. Funct. Anal. 62(2), 304-335 (1985). 2, 12, 17, 20, 24
[15] R.R. Coifman, G. Weiss, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Sot. 83 (1977), 569-645. 13
[16] M. Cowling, S. Meda, R. Pasquale, *Riesz potentials and amalgams*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **49**, 4 (1999), 1345-1367. 22
[17] D.V. Cruz-Uribe, J.M. Martell, C. Perez, *Weights Extrapolation and the Theory of Rubio de Francia*. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 215. 3, 6, 8, 18, 21
[18] J. Duoandikoetxea, *Fourier Analysis*, Grad. Stud. Math. 29, American Math. Soc., Providence, 2000. 5, 7, 8, 24
[19] C. Fefferman, E. M. Stein, *Some maximal inequalities*. Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 107-116. 3, 6
[20] J. Feuto, I. Fofana, K. Koua, *Weighted norm inequalities for a maximal operator in some subspace of amalgams*, http://arxiv.org/pdf/0901.4197.pdf. 22
[21] I. Fofana, *Continuité de l'intégral fractionnaire et espace* $(L^p, \ell^q)^\alpha$. Comptes Redus de Séances de l'Académie de Sciences I, vol. 308, pp. 525-527, 1989. 22
[22] J.J.F. Fournier, J. Stewart, *Amalgams of* L^p *and* ℓ^q. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **13** (1985), 1-21. 22
[23] H.G. Feichtinger, *Generalized amalgams, with applications to Fourier transform*. Canad. J. Math. 42(3), 395-409, (1990). 21
[24] H.G. Feichtinger, *Banach convolution algebras of Wiener type*. Functions, Series, Operators, Proc. Conf. Budapest, 38, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai (1980), 509 524. 21
[25] J. García-Cuerva and J. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1985. 5
[26] L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis, second edition*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer. 5, 24
[27] C.E. Heil, *Wiener amalgam spaces in generalized harmonic analysis and wavelet theory*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, (1990). ftp://ftp.math.gatech.edu/pub/users/heil/thesis.pdf. 21
[28] S. Hofmann, S. Mayboroda, *Hardy and BMO spaces to divergence form elliptic operators*. Math. Ann. **344** (2009), no. 1, 37-116. 2
[29] S. Hofmann, S. Mayboroda, A. McIntosh, *Second order elliptic operators with complex bounded measurable coefficients in* L^p*, Sobolev and Hardy spaces*. Ann. Sci. École. Norm. Sup. (4), 44(5):723–800, 2011. 2
[30] F. Holland, *Harmonic analysis on amalgams of* L^p *and* ℓ^q, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **10**(1975), pp. 295-305. 22
[31] T. Hytönen, J. van Neerven, P. Portal *Conical square function estimates in UMD Banach spaces and applications to* $H^\infty-$*functional calculi*. J. Anal. Math. **106** (2008), 317-351. 2
[32] N. Kikuchi, E. Nakai, N. Tomita, K. Yabuta, T. Yoneda, *Calderón-Zygmund operators on amalgam spaces and in the discrete case*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **335** (2007) 198-212. 22
[33] H. Koch and D. Tataru, *Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations*. Adv. Math., 157(1):22–35, 2001. 2
[34] J.M. Martell, C. Prisuelos-Arribas *Weighted norm inequalities for conical square functions. Part: I*. To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 6, 8
[35] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the HArdy maximal function*. Trans. Amer. Soc. 165 (1972), pp. 207-226. 6
[36] B. Muckenhoupt, R.L. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 192, 1974. 17
[37] E.M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970. 16
[38] J. Stewart, *Fourier transforms of unbounded measures*, Canad. J. Math., **31** (1979), pp. 1281-1292. 22
[39] A. Torchinsky, *Real-variable methods in harmonic analysis*. Academic Press, INC, 1986.
[40] N. Wiener, *On the representation of functions by trigonometric integrals*. Math. Z. **24**, 1926, 575-616. 21
[41] N. Wiener, *Tauberian theorems*, Ann. of Math. 33 (1932), 1-100. 21

Pascal Auscher, Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France

E-mail address: Pascal.Auscher@math.u-psud.fr

Cruz Prisuelos-Arribas Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM), C/ Nicolás Cabrera, 13-15, E-28049 Madrid, Spain

E-mail address: cruz.prisuelos@icmat.es